

## DROP IN AND CHAT REPORT

Saturday 9<sup>th</sup> June 2018.

The drop in and chat was held during Bloxham Fun Day, residents raised the following issues: -

1. concerns regarding the Parish Council's objection to the Planning Application *18/00594/F Conversion of Office/Mess Room and Store (Former Stable) into Essential Agricultural Worker's Dwelling by Clement Wyatt Gardens Limited*, he expressed his view that the Parish Council were not following the wishes of the majority of residents.

Information was given that the Parish Council only responded on planning applications to the Local Planning Authority using the Policies contained within the Bloxham Neighbourhood Development Plan and the Local Plan. The 70 or so objections put on the CDC Planning Portal from residents, did not reference any planning policies. The resident's concerns were however reported in the Parish Council response to Cherwell District Council.

The application required the establishment of the need for an essential worker, and in the light of two conflicting professional reports relating to this matter the Parish Council did not feel that it had the expertise to evaluate these reports, and this was reflected in the response to Cherwell District Council.

The resident raised a further concern regarding the Parish Council, apparently, stopping John Wyatt selling compost from the site.

Information was provided this was not a matter that the Parish Council had considered.

2. concern regarding the lack of progress at the Yeomans Garage site on Cumberford Hill. The hoardings were unsightly, and the resident considered that, with the summer holidays looming, the site may prove attractive to misuse.

Information supplied was that the Parish Council were aware that the fence adjacent to the Brook, † had been removed/blown down and had now been replaced, but to date no further information was available.

The CDC Planning Department would be contacted for an update on the progress at the site. **Action TG**

3. two concerns regarding inappropriate parking on The Avenue, especially at the Primary School drop off and pick up time.

This would be referred to the TVP to check. **Action TG.**

4. A resident from Stone Hill raised the issue of cars parking inappropriately opposite Stone Hill House. This forced vehicles to mount the York Stone paving to pass by.

Information was given that Bloxham School would be contacted to ensure that it was not parents or staff parking in this location.

TVP to be asked to check when they are next in the village. CDC to be asked if it was presenting an issue for their waste/recycling collection vehicles **Action TG.**

5. A young boy from North Newington was interested in the work of the Parish Council and if a Skate Park was to be built in the village. His details were taken to ensure he would be contacted if there was any progress on the Skate Park. **Action TG to keep his details on file to inform at a future date**
6. Concern that the 30mph speed limit on the Tadmarton Road; was going to be relocated further out of the village. Also, has it been confirmed that the footpath between the car park and the development's access road was going to happen.

Information was given that the relocation of the 30mph sign was part of the Miller Homes Application and that OCC would need to carry out a public consultation before undertaking the work. The Parish Council would check with OCC when this was planned.

The developer, Miller Homes, had been contacted regarding the timing of the provision of the footpath and the Parish Council is currently awaiting a response. **Action TG.**

7. Concern regarding the number of fly posters and signs littering the village, either on lamppost/telegraph posts or the grass verge. These ranged from Company signs advertising their services or products to signs advertising the housing developments not only in the village but further afield. Should these require permission?

As part of the Drop in and chat process this concern would be raised at a Parish Council meeting, advice would be sought, and the response reported at a subsequent Parish Council Meeting.